National Front News
10p
ISSUE No. 38

'POSITIVE DISCRIMINATION' MEANS BLACKS FIRST IN JOBS, HOUSING AND WELFARE!

"POSITIVE DISCRIMINATION" is a phrase increasingly bandied about by the media and 'Race Relations' traitors since the publication of Lord Scarman's report on the race riots which took place in Brixton and other 'Inner City' areas last summer.

At present the phrase may not mean an awful lot to you. But if you are White, unemployed and/or desperate for a home, then it will come to have a painful and humiliating significance as months and years roll by.

Why? Because the phrase is a code name for a new plan which the Government is starting to put into effect in the hope of persuading Britain's ever-increasing Black population to refrain from engaging in any more riots.

What the 'Positive Discrimination' plan boils down to is this: the Government, local authorities and Big Business concerns will be going out of their way to put Coloured Immigrants FIRST . . .

FIRST in the queue for jobs – especially for Civil Service and municipal employment.

FIRST in youth work-experience training schemes.

FIRST in the queue for Council housing.

FIRST in the allocation of cash for welfare schemes.

FIRST in the allocation of cash (Government grants and Bank loans) for starting new small businesses.

At a time when 3 million Britons are on the dole, when school-leavers face **years** of unemployment, when scores of thousands of families are desperate for a decent home, when untold numbers of old folk are **dying** as a result of cuts in welfare services, the Race Traitors have decided that Coloured Immigrants must be given privileged treatment!

"Positive Discrimination" are weasel words designed to put a veneer of respectability over a policy which is rank with anti-White, anti-British inverted racialism and corruption.

The weasels claim that their policy does not involve discrimination against Whites. They say that their policy simply means that "extra resources will be allocated to particular areas to meet special needs."

If any White people are fooled by such clap-trap, then they deserve a taste of the treatment meted out to 19 year old Vickey Wheatley, her common-law husband David Carlisle, and their two year old baby, Kelly.

Last September their only home was a tent pitched in the back garden of a friend in Southmead, Bristol. They are – perhaps through their own fecklessness – at the bottom of the Council's housing list.

While they faced the prospect of winter in a tent, welfare agencies were pouring millions of pounds into the St. Paul's district of Bristol in a bid to boost the standard of living of the Black community which rioted in April 1980.

In multi-racial Britain, feckless White youngsters (and their babies) are abandoned and degraded – but Black communities which riot, loot and burn are pampered and rewarded!

BUT THIS BRITISH FAMILY FACED WINTER IN A TENT!

BLUEPRINTS FOR BETRAYAL

THE END of 1981 saw the publication of two important official documents: The Home Office Report on "Racial Attacks" and Lord Scarman's Report on the Riots in Brixton. It is clear that the Government intends basing its strategy for Multi-Racialism on the misinformation and propaganda presented in those reports. That is why we devote pages 2 and 3 to examining what they contain (and what they do **not** contain) and exposing them as blueprints for a campaign of anti-White betrayal.

HIGH COURT BANS NF PROTEST AGAINST ANTI-WHITE CORRUPTION IN BRENT

TWO public meetings which the National Front wished to hold in Brent, North London, during December were banned when High Court judges granted Interim Injunctions against the meeting organisers on the application of Brent Borough Council.

Brent Council's *"ex parte"* applications – the first on the 4th and the second on the 11th of December – were made before a Judge-in-Chambers. In such proceedings the public and the press are excluded.

On both occasions Brent Council was represented by Mr. Louis Blom-Cooper, QC.

Brent Branch Organiser Graham John was the sole Defendant in the first application. He was unaware of the proceedings until after they had taken place.

Mr. John and NF National Activities Organiser Martin Webster were Defendants in the second application.

Not having the funds to pay for professional legal representation, Mr. Webster argued against the application in person – in a "lucid and persuasive" manner, according to the unsympathetic Judge.

The leader of Brent Council, Cllr. John Bryson, declared that he hoped that a precedent had been set to enable Councils all over Britain to secure bans on all NF meetings.

Because of the threat to civil liberties which these Injunctions represent, Mr. John and Mr. Webster are obtaining legal advice concerning the possibility of an Appeal.

This new device for suppressing free speech would normally be a matter of considerable interest on the part of the mass media – but because editors dislike the idea of portraying the NF as the **victim** of tyranny, and do not wish to publish the reasons why the NF wanted to hold a public meeting in Brent in the first place, news about the matter has been suppressed.

The NF wanted to hold a meeting in order to protest at the corrupt way Brent Council's governing Labour group abuse the Council's powers in order to ingratiate themselves with various minority ethnic groups prior to the forthcoming borough council elections.

These corrupt abuses include:

- A decision to waive collection of £22,000 rates owed by members of the Hindu community on a warehouse building which they wish to convert into a Temple.
- A decision to grant a Planning application from members of the Muslim community to convert a house in a residential area into a mosque, despite objections from more than 200 local residents and opposition from the Council's own Planning Department.
- A decision to purge from the shelves of local libraries copies of *The Easter Story* – a Ladybird Bible Book for children – because its account of the conspiracy which led to the crucifixion of Jesus Christ was said to be "anti-Semitic".

The National Front will not relent in its determination to bring the facts concerning Brent Council's corrupt anti-White "Positive Discrimination" policies to wide public attention.

For details of our weekly programme of marches and meetings in Brent (commencing Saturday 6th February) please contact your local NF organiser or phone 01-684 0271.



Saturday 12th December: *The day before these pictures were taken a Judge decided that regardless of the severe weather conditions, "violence was likely" if the NF held a rally anywhere in Brent. So 80 NF members held their rally 10 yards over the Brent border in Harrow. The rally was entirely peaceful. Imagine! A road's width prevented a race riot!*

MOBILISE AGAINST I.R.A. MARCH IN COVENTRY ON SUNDAY 31st JANUARY

ASSEMBLE IN COVENTRY: 1.00 p.m.

DETAILS OF ASSEMBLY PLACE AND PICK-UP POINTS FOR COACHES FROM ALL PARTS OF BRITAIN FROM YOUR LOCAL NF ORGANISER OR FROM: 01-684 0271.

NATIONAL FRONT NEWS

NF NF NF
NATIONAL FRONT

EDITORIAL

EDITOR: MARTIN WEBSTER
Assistant Editor: Ian Anderson
Staff Photographers: Mike Hipperson, Ian Newport
50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey, CRO 2QF. Tel.: 01-684 0271.
No. 38 FEBRUARY 1982

COURAGE: THE QUEEN'S OPINION—AND OURS

DURING her Christmas broadcast the Queen singled out groups within the community for praise on account of their courage: disabled people, ordinary folk in Northern Ireland, and others who struggle to achieve normal lives in difficult circumstances. The Queen also singled out for special praise New Commonwealth Immigrants "who have not yet found themselves fully accepted."

Since the Queen has expressed publicly her opinion on a controversial issue, she must expect to be contradicted. The point we would wish to draw to her attention is that disabled persons did not **choose** their disability; ordinary folk in Northern Ireland did not **choose** to generate terrorism. These people are praiseworthy because they struggle to make the best of a situations **not of their making.**

Coloured Immigrants, on the other hand, **chose** to come to Britain, and their motivation was to take, not to give. Furthermore, they **choose** to stay and increase their numbers in our overcrowded and bankrupt little island even though they know that their presence is sharply resented by most British folk who live in the areas where they have settled.

The people whose courage the Queen should have praised are our British old folk who struggle to survive in neighbourhoods which they did not ask to be converted into squalid and dangerous multi-racial slums, and which they are too poor to move away from.

NF News seeks to persuade its readers to feel angry about the evils of multi-racialism and to direct their anger solely at the corrupt politicians who created a racial problem in Britain, and not to the Immigrant people in general. "Racialism" is NOT unlawful — only "racial hatred".

NEW NATION

ANNOUNCEMENT

Financial problems caused the suspension of *New Nation* during 1981. However it is hoped that following a meeting of patrons of the magazine set to take place early this year, quarterly production will be resumed. *New Nation's* new Editor is Mr. Andrew Brons. Applications to attend the meeting of patrons, and/or offers of financial help should be addressed to the Editor at *50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey CRO 2QF.*

READ **Bulldog**
MONTHLY PAPER OF
YOUNG NATIONAL FRONT
Send 25p for sample copy now.
Bulk rates on request.
Bulldog, 50 Pawsons Road,
Croydon, Surrey CRO 2QF.

BLUEPRINTS FOR BETRAYAL

Home Office Report

THIS Report was ordered by Home Secretary William Whitelaw after pressure from Black community groups and from the Board of Deputies of British Jews. The Home Office team set out to collect data on any attacks which were believed to have a racial element. These statistics were doctored to give the impression that most racial attacks are by Whites on Blacks.

In view of the nature of the organisations which promoted this investigation it is hardly surprising that there is no discussion of racialist attacks, such as muggings, by Blacks on Whites.

The main body of the discussion in the report is devoted to an attempt to prove that all racial attacks are motivated by the reading of racialist literature. Without having produced any evidence to show any involvement by any group in the organisation of racial attacks the report calls for the clamping down on the distribution of National Front literature:

"It is right to guard against the dissemination of such propaganda and influence where young people congregate, in schools and at football grounds."

It even goes so far as to hint at the banning of certain types of musical concerts:

"It is not a criminal offence for a skinhead band to play concerts in Southall. But it is offensive to the ethnic minorities and provocative."

The report calls for the setting up of liaison groups between Immigrants and the police, and it also notes, without comment, the proposed setting up of Black vigilante groups which are described as being part of the Asian culture.

The report finishes off by returning to the theme of oppression:

"In particular it is necessary to keep under close review, with the police, the activities of extremist organisations."

Scarman Report

LORD Justice Scarman's Report details the events which led up to and resulted in the violent riots in Brixton in April last year. He states that these riots, which were "far in excess of anything until then experienced by the police in Britain," were sparked off by a misunderstanding when two police officers went to help an injured Black.

Despite his attempts to find excuses for the Blacks he is forced to admit that in this Black Community:

"Many young people were itching to have a go and aggression was in them. Many observers noted their elation as events developed to the disadvantage of the police. They were enjoying themselves."

The Report notes that on the second night of the rioting Blacks were being used by a group of politically motivated Whites:

"One Black Man and one White Man directed operations (of the rioters) *in Railton Road" . . . "White men were making, stacking and distributing petrol bombs."*

Scarman's proposed solutions to prevent future riots are aimed at appeasing the Blacks, and the liberal consciences of our politicians:

"The attack on racial disadvantage must be more direct than it has been." This will inevitably mean *"that the ethnic minorities will enjoy for a time a positive discrimination in their favour."*

He also wants to see more Blacks recruited to the police force, and he wants "racial prejudice" to be a police disciplinary offence normally punishable by dismissal.

Nowhere in his report is there any suggestion that a "racist" march caused or even encouraged the riots which tore the heart out of Brixton, yet he wants the Government to be able to ban such marches without affecting any other type of march or demonstration.

HOW HOME OFFICE FIXED 'RACE ATTACK' STATISTICS

THE Home Office Report on Racial Attacks was instigated by Black community groups and by a front organisation of the Board of Deputies of British Jews. Consequently it should come as no surprise to find that the figures on which the whole report is based have been "doctored" in such a way as to support the political views of these groups.

In their survey the Home Office team asked police officers to fill in a form after any incident involving individuals of different races. This form was deliberately designed to distort the figures.

For example an incident would be regarded as racially motivated if the victim voluntarily **claimed** that there was a racial motive.

When Blacks or Asians are attacked or their property stolen, they are quick to claim that they have been the victim of a racial attack. Whites who are attacked or who have property stolen are reluctant to assert that they have been the victim of a racial attack.

"RACIALLY MOTIVATED"

This tendency is borne out by the figures in the Report. Of the incidents where Blacks were the victim 24% were claimed to be racially motivated, as were 27% of the incidents with Asian victims, yet only 3% of the White victims voluntarily claimed that they were the victims of a racial attack.

The main body of the Report is devoted to discussing ways of preventing attacks by Whites on Blacks and Asians, yet the figures show that out of 2,851 reported incidents there were 775 attacks by Whites on non-Whites, **there were 1,192 attacks by Blacks or Asians on Whites, and there were 884 incidents between individuals from two non-White races.**

The Report ignores these last two figures entirely.

To ensure still more distortion of the figures, the Home Office team decided that, unless there was some clear indication of racial hatred, any incident involving financial loss would be regarded as non-racial.

MUGGINGS EXCLUDED

This ludicrous concept excludes the most common of all racial attacks — muggings — from the figures.

The reason for this is obvious: mugging is a crime predominantly perpetrated by Blacks on Whites. So racially sensitive is this issue that the police no longer release the relevant figures. However in South London in 1967 the official police figures showed that 80% of muggers were Black while 85% of the victims were White, clearly indicating a large racial element in this crime.

Head of the Home Office 'Race Relations' Unit — Jewish community activist and Board of Deputies contact man Neville Nagler.

The publication of this Report received considerable national press coverage with headlines such as "Race Violence — It's worse and Skinheads are Blamed".

Every one of the newspapers which covered the Report used the Home Office figures and analysis. Not one of them questioned the legitimacy of these figures **and not one mentioned the fact that there were 50% more attacks by Blacks on Whites than by Whites on Blacks.**

THROWING MONEY AT BLACKS WON'T AVERT RACE WAR!

DURING the periods of violence experienced by our inner cities last summer there was a concerted effort by the newspapers and television to convince us that the riots were "not racial". It is true that in some of the riots Whites joined in, but Lord Justice Scarman, in his recently published report, admits that there was a strong racial element in the violence.

However rather than face up to the real issue of the failure of the multiracial society he simply repeats the standard cry of liberals everywhere that it was not the fault of the Blacks, nor the inevitable consequence of the presence of a large alien wedge, but it was all the result of "inner city deprivation".

In dire tones he then warns us that unless we immediately spend millions of pounds to help these poor Blacks then Enoch Powell's prediction of "Rivers foaming with blood" will rapidly come true.

Yet this cause of the riots, which has been unquestioningly accepted by the politicians, by the newspapers and by the television interviewers, simply does not stand the test.

DEPRESSION

In the depression of the 1930's there was much greater poverty with people literally starving, yet despite their justifiable anger Britain did not experience the rioting, the burning and the destruction which occurred in Brixton, in Toxteth and in other areas last summer.

If Scarman's analysis is correct then there would be no race riots during times of prosperity, but in 1958, during Harold MacMillan's "You've never had it so good" era, there were race riots not only in Notting Hill but also in the prosperous City of Nottingham.

"COPYCAT RIOTS"

More recently, after the major riots in Brixton there were outbreaks of "copycat" racial violence in well-to-do middle class areas such as Cheltenham and Tunbridge Wells.

Scarman's analysis also fails to explain why in the North East of England, in areas like Tyneside, there have been no riots. The North East has the highest unemployment level in mainland Britain with hundreds of youngsters chasing every available job, large numbers of its factories have been completely closed down and yet the people there did not feel it necessary to burn and loot.

In terms of crime and violence America is always said to be one step ahead of Britain and after the widespread outbreaks of racial violence in the late 1960's the American social services threw hundreds of millions of dollars into Black welfare programmes.

Yet ten years later in cities like Miami race riots have become a permanent feature of life and when interviewed the young Blacks still claimed that they were deprived because they only had one television set, or because they couldn't afford this year's new car model.

Perhaps the most telling fact of all is the Brixton riot itself. Brixton is in the London Borough of Lambeth. In 1979 Lambeth Borough Social Services expenditure was the highest per capita in England and was double the average for the rest of London.

RACE NOT POVERTY

Quite clearly the common factor in the violent battles which tore across our cities is not poverty it is race. It is inevitable that in a White society Blacks suffer from "racial disadvantage", this is not because of the inadequacy of the welfare services it is due to the different genetic make-up of the Blacks.

The refusal of both Blacks and Whites to integrate, despite the desires of masochistic white liberals, is not some strange malevolent disease, it is a normal healthy defence reaction, a reaction which is essential if we are to preserve our culture, preserve our inheritance and above all if we are to preserve our Race.

‘NON-RACIAL’ ATTACKS ON WHITES REACH EPIDEMIC PROPORTIONS

ACCORDING to the multi-racialist cranks who produced the recent Home Office “study” on racial attacks, an assault by members of one race upon members of another race cannot be categorised as a “racial attack” if the element of robbery was involved.

By employing this dodge the Home Office propagandists were able to exclude from their presentation of “racial attack” statistics incidents involving gangs of Blacks who perpetrate violent robberies (“muggings”) and who seek out White victims.

Mrs. Edith Brown, 83, – battered by three muggers for 70p.

If the Home Office definition of what does **not** constitute a “racial attack” is accepted, then one can only say that the number of “non-racial attacks” by gangs of Blacks on White people is now reaching epidemic proportions!

It was in Brixton, South London, that the Police first recorded in 1967 that 80 per cent of muggers are Black and 85 per cent of their victims are White.

“SWAMP 81”

It was because that ethnic ratio still obtained in 1981 that the local Police Chief, Commander Brian Fairbairn, instituted the ‘Swamp 81’ anti-mugger operation which “provoked” the Brixton “non-race riots” in April.

On 27th November 1981 the *Daily Mail* reported:

“Last year Brixton accounted for nearly half the robbery and violent theft offences in ‘L’ District which embraces five Police Divisions across South-West London.

“In four years this type of crime has increased by 138 per cent in Brixton – almost four times higher than the average figures for the whole Metropolitan Police area.”

Percentages do not mean an awful lot when individual human tragedies are under discussion, which is the reason why the actual **number** of mugging cases in Brixton as stated in sworn evidence at a recent Old Bailey trial by a Police anti-mugging specialist was of particular interest.

On 29th December 1981 Det. Sgt. Ted Domachowski gave evidence in the trial of Lemard Wallace, leader of a gang of Black muggers based in Brixton and known as The Wolves. This gang generally favoured White women as its victims, and in all had perpetrated more than 500 muggings. Wallace confessed to personal involvement in 150 of these.

As a part of his evidence, Det. Sgt. Domachowski stated:

“Last year (1980) nearly 3,000 mugging cases were reported in the Brixton area, and this year figures are increasing.”

GANG RAPE

Just as the Home Office report on racial attacks was being published in November last year, an Old Bailey jury was being told about the “non-racial” gang rape in Brixton of a 14 year old White schoolgirl by six West Indian youths.

The girl was tricked into entering an empty Council flat by a 14 year old West Indian girl who bore her a grudge. Once in the flat the White girl was pounced on by the Black youths whose ages ranged from 18 to 14.

She was stripped, raped, subjected to other sexual assaults and then robbed for two silver rings. The robbery, of course, rendered the whole affair a “non-racial attack” according to Home Office criteria!

George and Violet Richards – both 65 – victims of a revenge raid by two “animals”.

Brixton does not enjoy a monopoly of “non-racial attacks”. White people everywhere are coming to understand how the multi-racial society can bring excitement into their drab lives!

Take the experience of George and Violet Richards, both 65, of Southampton. One Sunday last November two Blacks tried to rob their home, but the Richards kicked up a noise and the men fled.

REVENGE ATTACK

The same robbers returned three nights later and, in a revenge “non-racial attack”, assaulted the Richards as they were asleep in bed. George sustained a broken nose and a severely injured eye. Violet’s jaw was broken, and she was robbed of a small amount of jewellery.

83 year old widow Mrs. Edith Brown of Stonebridge Park, Willesden, North London, is now afraid to leave her home following a “non-racial attack” on her by three Blacks last November.

Mrs. Brown was hurled to the ground and kicked. Her nose was broken, her wrist and arm were sprained, her frail body was bruised and her nerves were shattered.

The attackers’ haul: 70p, spectacles, and a card warning that Mrs. Brown suffers from a heart condition.

HOW ‘POSITIVE DISCRIMINATION’ WORKS

ALL over the country national and local government bodies are starting to put into effect plans to put Coloured Immigrants first in the allocation of employment, promotion, housing, investment and welfare facilities.

It will come as no surprise that one of the first decisions of the new Labour-Marxist administration of the Greater London Council (Leader: Ken Livingstone) was the creation of the post of GLC Race Relations Adviser.

The appointment of 36 year old Guyanan-born Herman Ouseley to the £22,000 per year post also came as no surprise since Ouseley held the post of Race Relations Adviser to Lambeth Borough Council (Leader: Ted Knight) for the past two years.

Shortly prior to his step up to the GLC job Ouseley presented a 57 page report to Lambeth Council which contained a programme for vastly increasing the number of Black people on the Town Hall payroll.

BLACK QUOTA

His main recommendations are:

- That the number of Blacks in top Council jobs (currently 26 out of 777) should be more than **tripled** in four years.
- That the number of Black trainees (currently 10 out of 57) should be **doubled** within two years.
- That the number of Blacks in specialist jobs (currently 3 out of 228) should be **increased eightfold** in two years.

Bearing in mind that Lambeth Council has been run by a Labour-Marxist administration for several years past with an established record for pro-Black policies, the fact that the Council has not already got a much higher number of Black employees can only be because Blacks with suitable qualifications have not applied to be hired.

It is therefore obvious that if the Council is to achieve the Black recruitment rate set in Ouseley’s report then Blacks are going to be taken on primarily on the basis of their colour and not because they possess the necessary qualifcations.



BIG BROTHER IS WATCHING YOU!
Herman Ouseley, GLC Race Relations Adviser

Such a policy, apart from denying jobs to White people properly qualified to perform them, will inevitably lead to an acceleration of the collapse of local government services.

Just this kind of “Positive Discrimination” hiring programme has been implemented by local government bodies in multi-racial areas in the United States for the past 15 years – and, as anybody knows who has visited the US recently, cities like New York, Newark, Atlanta and many others are falling apart with corruption and maladministration.

The ‘inspiration’ for America’s disastrous “Positive Discrimination” programmes were the Long Hot Summer race riots of the 1960’s.

Race riots – and the absurd belief that the way to prevent further riots is to throw money at the Black community – are likewise the ‘inspiration’ for the increasing drive towards “Positive Discrimination” in Britain.

“ETHNIC MINORITY ADVISER”

Out of London, Labour-controlled Derby City Council is one of the many local authorities to announce a pro-Black hiring scheme. Approximately one in four Council jobs will go to Immigrants.

Dudley Council in the West Midlands has recently decided to appoint a Race Relations Adviser in order to increase the proportion of Blacks on the Council’s payroll, and Walsall Council – also in the West Midlands – has appointed an “Ethnic Minority Adviser” to its Housing Department.

While employment and housing are key areas for “Positive Discrimination” programmes, Council welfare projects are also involved.

BLACK CLUB

Last June, for example, Lewisham Council in SE London decided to spend £61,000 on a meeting centre for the exclusive use of the borough’s elderly Black people.

When questioned about this decision local Community Relations boss Asquith Gibbes – a West Indian and a leading member of the Communist Party – said:

“There’s no reason why people shouldn’t have a choice. It isn’t apartheid when elderly people, whether Black or White, want to mix with their own kind and do their own thing.”

Can we imagine a Race Relations official making a similar statement about a proposal to spend £61,000 of public money on a club for the exclusive use of *White* old folk?

MORE BLACKS ASK TO BE REPATRIATED

WHILE last year’s race riots seem to have had the effect of stampeding one school of Establishment thinkers down the road of “Positive Discrimination” as a last-ditch bid to rescue multi-racialism, another more pragmatic school seem to have told themselves that the multi-racial experiment in Britain has failed, and that there is no reason why they should be flushed down the pan along with it.

As a result, REPATRIATION – once the dirty word in Establishment circles – has been increasingly and systematically ventilated in the news media.

Here are some of the press headlines that have appeared since last September:

“Cash urged to help West Indians to go home” – Wolverhampton *Express & Star* 25/9/81; **“Black family going home after 25 years” home”** – *Daily Telegraph* 26/9/81; **“Blacks who want to leave”** – *The Guardian* 26/9/81; **“Give £1m to send migrants back”** – *Daily Mail* 24/11/81; **“West Indians jam phones to get home”** – *The Times* 25/11/81; **“Goodbye to a dream – Mum tells why we’re quitting Britain”** – *Islington Gazette:* **“Time to go home rush”** – *East London Advertiser* 4/12/81.

DR. ASHTON GIBSON – launched a £1 million “send us home” fund.

In addition to these and doubtless numerous other newspaper reports, there have been regular references to repatriation schemes in TV and radio news and current affairs programmes.

Within the space of just a few months the unthinkable has now become an OK topic for public discussion!

In a press interview in September West Indian James Hunte, the Labour Councillor for Handsworth Ward on the West Midlands County Council said:

“The Government must make cash available to immigrant families who want to return to the West Indies. I am sure thousands would go. I challenge Mrs. Thatcher to make this facility available if she believes in freedom of choice.”

In November a newly-formed West Indian organisation called the West Midland Resettlement Association sent an 800 name petition to Enoch Powell which urged him to support their fight to achieve repatriation.

Also in November a West Indian charity based at Caribbean House in South Hackney, East London, set up a £1 million appeal for a new repatriation scheme called Homeward Bound.

Director-General of the Caribbean House charity – which received an annual grant of £200,000 from public funds – is Dr. Ashton Gibson, a West Indian who came to Britain in 1952. He said:

“The vast majority of West Indians now want to go home. They have given up trying to live with poverty in this country.”

NATIONALIST BOOKS
The NF’s mail order book service
A full range of titles of interest to Nationalists, racialists and ‘Holocaust’ students. Send 20p for list to: 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey CRO 2QF.

SUSSEX FRONT
Independent magazine produced by Brighton NF members. Send 30p for sample copy to 15 Morris Road, Lewes, Sussex.

BLACKBOARD JUNGLE

“BRITISH children should be taught to speak Punjabi in schools because Britons had no right to insist that Immigrants learn English. Just because this is England it does not mean that everyone has to speak English.” *Mr. George Hickman, TGWU delegate, West Bromwich Trades Council, Express & Star, 21/10/81.*

- “School cookery classes which do not include information on Indian and Chinese meals are guilty of racial discrimination.” *Commission for Racial Equality, Daily Mail, 6/10/81.*
- “Brent Council is setting up a multi-cultural education unit. We hope to get 75 per cent of the unit’s £100,000 cost from the Government. When it is set up in January it will advise teachers how to make their lessons multi-cultural. The aim will be to educate children that starch comes from yams as well as potatoes and that milk comes from goats as well as cows.” *Brent Borough Council’s West Indian Community Relations Officer Mr. Norman Mullins, Daily Mail, of 2/11/81.*
- “Black children are often reluctant to do well in school because they are afraid they will be made fun of by their friends. But if there were more Black teachers it would encourage the youngsters to realise their full potential. Black children need a figure to look up to who they can identify with. Once you get such a figure there is an increase in the achievement of the children. But because there is a shortage of Black teachers this doesn’t happen. Few West Indians go into teaching, medical or other such professions – not because they haven’t the potential, but because it is not the traditional thing for them to do.” *Mr. Geoff Wynne, Director of Studies, Moat Community College, Leicester,* ***Leicester Shop Window,*** *10/6/81.*
- “Ten years ago many people hoped that as the second generation of West Indians became established in Britain, difficulties relating to colour would diminish. Unfortunately this has not happened. We might be looking for progress too quickly. We are certainly not being helped by the aggressive sense of Afro-Caribbean identity which is exhibited by many young West Indians.” *Mr. Fred Smithies, General Secretary, National Association of Schoolmasters,* ***Birmingham Post,*** *14/12/81.*

NATIONALISM TODAY
The radical voice of British Nationalism. 20 pages of NF ideology, action reports, humour, photo-features. Send 40p for sample copy to: Nationalism Today, 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey CRO 2QF.

HERITAGE & DESTINY
An independent magazine for all Nationalists interested in culture and folklore. Send 45p for sample copy to: BCM 5766, London WC1 3XX.

OTHERS FORGET – WE REMEMBER

THE National Front maintained its tradition of honouring Britain's war dead when 1,400 members and supporters joined the party's annual parade to The Cenotaph in Whitehall on Remembrance Sunday, 8th November.

The parade, though not the biggest ever held by the NF, was large enough to infuriate a scattering of 'Nationalist' splinter group supporters who, having no parade of their own, skulked on the sidelines.

Zionist leaders were enraged by BBC *Nationwide* coverage of the parade which, when showing smartly turned-out YNF flag bearers, reported: "The NF produced a respectable front on its Remembrance Day parade."

This led to renewed Zionist demands that the NF be banned from displaying the Union Jack!

(Column placings and names from left to right.) *Column 2:* **George Wilson, BEM,** of Islington Branch, and **Tom Mundy**, Organiser of Slough Group, standing before The Cenotaph during the two-minute silence. Mr. Wilson's wreath commemorated the fallen of Australia, Canada, New Zealand, South Africa and Rhodesia. Mr. Mundy's wreath commemorated the United Kingdom's fallen.



Column 3 (top): The NF column stretches out along Victoria Street. *(middle)*: **Hugh Northcote,** a regular candidate for the NF in Newham. *(bottom)*: **Peter Williams,** Chairman of Norwich Branch (at right), a long-standing member of the NF National Directorate. ***Columns 4 & 5*** *(top)*: The Colour Party dip their flags in salute as they march past The Cenotaph. *(middle)*: **Mr. Wilson** and **Mr. Mundy** lead the Ex-Servicemen and Ex-Servicewomen into Whitehall. *(bottom)*: Speakers at the rally after the ceremony: **Ian Anderson,** NF Deputy-Chairman; **Andrew Brons,** NF Chairman; **Martin Webster,** National Activities Organiser; and **David Riley,** Chairman, NF Trade Unionists.

DAVID AT CROWN COURT–JOE AT OLD BAILEY

DAVID Riley, Chairman of Accrington NF Branch, was committed for trial to Burnley Crown Court on 12th December charged with two Race Relations Act "racial incitement" offences.

The charges relate to the publication by Mr. Riley of two leaflets which notified residents of attempts by an Asian and an Arab to convert houses in residential areas into grocery and hot-food-take-away shops.

The leaflets pointed out that in areas where such enterprises had been established a nuisance had been created which caused White residents to move away.

In view of this, the leaflets invited local residents to write to Hyndburn Council's Planning Department to register objections to the current conversion applications.

"NO CASE"

Accrington Magistrates rejected a plea from Mr. Riley's solicitor, Mr. Philip Gegan, that there were no "threatening, abusive or insulting" words in either of the leaflets and that therefore there was no case to answer.

The Magistrate also refused to grant Legal Aid to Mr. Riley even though it will be necessary for him to have a barrister for the Crown Court trial set for April.

Bulldog Editor Joe Pearce was due to appear at the Old Bailey on 7th January as this issue went to press. He faces eight "racial incitement" charges.

The outcome of the trial will be known by the time this issue circulates, but a full trial report will appear in the next issue.

LIVELY JOBS MARCH AT BRIGHTON

LACK of coursage on the part of 400 Anti-Nazi League demonstrators caused them to misss an opportunity of disrupting a Young National Front "British Jobs for British Workers!" march in Brighton on 14th November before it had even started.

The ANAL demonstrators – mostly middle class students from Sussex University – could have swamped the first contingent of YNF marchers as they turned up at the assembly place, but odds of 10 to 1 were not good enough for them, so they hung back.

By the time 150 YNF marchers had assembled, all the cowardly Reds had a mind to do was shout abuse and hurl the occasional bottle. More than 20 of them were arrested as the NF youngsters marched through the town.

The march was organised by Brighton NF Branch.

NATIONAL FRONT TRADE UNIONISTS
JOBS FOR BRITISH WORKERS!

NEW DEPUTY-CHAIRMAN ELECTED

IAN ANDERSON, head of the NF's Branch Liaison Department, has been elected as Deputy-Chairman of the party following the resignation from the Directorate of Richard Verrall on 31st October.

Mr. Anderson's nomination was proposed by Martin Webster and seconded by Andrew Brons. There were no other nominations for the post.

Ian Anderson

Mr. Verall resigned from the Directorate due to health problems and a change in his domestic circumstances, but he remains an NF member and, in sending a message of goodwill to his successor, promised to keep his pen in the service of the cause.

Mr. Anderson is an executive with an industrial company. He joined the NF while at Oxford University, where he was NF Group Organiser.

He has twice stood as an NF Parliamentary candidate – Oxford 1974; Newham South 1979. He remains a member of Newham Branch committee, to which he was first elected in 1978.

Mr. Anderson joined the Directorate in January 1980 as a cooptee, and was elected to the body in September 1980.

PLEASE SEND US A DONATION!

We hope you enjoyed reading this issue. Remember, we publish facts that the mass media tries to suppress. Even if you can't help us actively, you can help us spread the word by sending us a donation. Just £1 will help us print 50 copies for distribution to truth-starved Britons! Send donations to: *NF News,* 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey CRO 2QF.

HELP ORGANISE N.F.T.U.

ALL National Front members who are members of a trade union will be asked to register themselves with the party's Industrial Department.

During 1982 the department will be devoting itself to establishing a special organisation called National Front Trade Unionists – NFTU.

The national organiser of the NFTU will be David Riley, Chairman of the Industrial Department of the NF National Directorate.

The NFTU will be encouraging employed NF members who are able and willing to join a trade union to do so. Unemployed NF members will be granted Associate Membership of the NFTU.

Once the registration of the NF's trade unionist members has been completed, the NFTU will commence the task of establishing Regional Committees.

If you would like further information about the NFTU please write to Mr. Riley c/o NF HQ. Please specify: the nature of your employment; where you are employed; TU you belong to; TU office held.

Regional conferences for NFTU members will be held during the course of the next six months.

Fountaine quits

CONSTITUTIONAL Movement Chairman Andrew Fountaine told the 47 people attending the CM's AGM in Coventry on 28th November that he was resigning from the group "forthwith".

He founded the CM in 1979 after failing to get elected as Chairman of the National Front. He hoped that enough NF members would defect with him to justify his claim that he was the leader of the *"bona fide* National Front".

Few NF members followed him into the wilderness. All CM attempts to upstage the NF in public elections ended in fiascos, and last June a High Court Judge ordered Fountaine to give back to the NF shares held by him as a former NF Trustee.

Back in his Norfolk mists, Fountaine has offered his services to the Conservative Party.

NF MEMBERS–PAY 1982 SUBS NOW

ALL membership subscriptions fell due for payment on 1st January – except in the case of persons who joined the NF during the last three months of 1981. Full Membership is £6 (£3 for students/OAP's). Associate Membership is £3 (no half rates). Please rush your 1982 payment NOW to NF HQ.

BUY NATIONAL FRONT NEWS IN BULK

National Front News retails at 10p from your local seller. Would bulk purchasers please order **only** in the quantities listed below. Terms strictly cash with order.

10 copies	£1.00	+ 30p post
25 copies	£2.25	+ 87p post
50 copies	£4.00	+ £1.43 post
100 copies	£7.00	+ £1.73 post
150 copies	£9.75	+ £1.90 post
200 copies	£12.00	+ £2.05 post
300 copies	£16.50	+ £2.35 post
400 copies	£20.00	+ £2.55 post
500 copies	£24.50	) Bulk rates
1000 copies	£46.00	) by Roadline

Cheques/postal orders to NFN Press, 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey CRO 2QF. Please keep orders and enquiries concerning **National Front News** totally separate from other correspondence in order to avoid delay and confusion.

SUBSCRIBE!

In order to make sure of receiving **National Front News** each month why not take out a subscription? No single subscriptions accepted. Subscriptions accepted for 5 copies per issue or over. Subscription for 5 copies: £8.50 (12 issues); Overseas £9.50. Please make cheques/PO's payable to NFN Press and send to: NFN Press, 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey CRO 2QF.

I enclose subscription of £8.50/£9.50* for 5 copies of **National Front News** for 12 issues.

**Delete as appropriate*

Name ....

Address ....

....

OVERSEAS SUBSCRIBERS WELCOMED

WHAT WE STAND FOR

- The return of leadership and statesmanship to British Affairs.
- A government that will put British interests first.
- An end to Immigration and a start to repatriation.
- British withdrawal from the European Common Market.
- The exclusion of all foreign manufactured goods that can be made in Britain.
- Closer links with the White Commonwealth.
- A sane financial system which will give to the people the purchasing power to buy the goods they produce.
- The revival of British farming.
- British ownership and control of British industry and resources.
- A clamp down on both corporate tax avoiding and social security parasites.
- Encouragement for all those who work hard.
- An end to aid to Black dictatorships.
- Strengthening Britain's defences.
- Tougher treatment of criminals, including the restoration of the death penalty for certain categories of murder.
- Support for the Loyalist majority in Northern Ireland and the eradication of the IRA.
- The rooting out of communist subversion in Britain, especially in the mass media, the factory, the public services and the schoolroom.
- Support for White Civilisation in southern Africa.

National Front puts Britain *FIRST*

To: National Front Information Service
50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey CRO 2QF.

Tick appropriate box.

Please send me more information about the National Front for which I enclose 50p (PO/stamps) ☐

I wish to become a Full Member of the National Front and enclose £6.00 (Half rate for students and OAPs) ☐

I wish to become an Associate Member of the National Front and enclose £3.00 (No half rate) ☐

Name ....

Address ....

....

Printed and published by NFN Press, 50 Pawsons Road, Croydon, Surrey CRO 2QF